

In re: Saxler *et al.*  
Serial No.: 10/849,617  
Filed: May 20, 2004  
Page 14 of 14

### REMARKS


In response to the Restriction Requirement of October 26, 2005, Applicants hereby elect Claims 1-45 and 63-80 corresponding to Invention II, drawn to methods of making semiconductor devices. Applicants have cancelled Claims 46-62 and 81-84 corresponding to Invention I, drawn to semiconductor devices. This cancellation is being made without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these claims and/or other claims.

Applicants are not traversing the restriction requirement because Applicants agree that unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Invention II.

The title has been changed to conform to the election of method Claims 1-45 and 63-80.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-45 and 63-80.

Respectfully submitted,



Elizabeth A. Stanek  
Registration No. 48,568

**Customer No. 20792**  
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.  
P. O. Box 37428  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627  
Telephone: (919) 854-1400  
Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

### CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 17, 2005 via facsimile number 571-273-8300.



Erin A. Campion